## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI NORTHERN DIVISION

DANIEL KELLEY PLAINTIFF

VS. CIVIL NO. 3:14cv00512DPJ-FKB

J. BUSCHER, ET AL. DEFENDANT

## OMNIBUS HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE F. KEITH BALL,
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
OCTOBER 22, 2014
JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI

## APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. DANIEL KELLEY, PRO SE

FOR DEFENDANT BUSCHER: MR. STEVEN GRIFFIN

FOR DEFENDANTS LITTLE and EDWARDS: MR. ROBERT PEDERSEN

REPORTED BY: CHERIE GALLASPY BOND

Registered Merit Reporter Mississippi CSR #1012

245 E. Capitol Street, Room 120

Jackson, Mississippi 39201 (601) 965-4410

```
1
              THE COURT: Court calls Daniel Kelley v. J. Buscher,
 2
             This is cause number 3:14cv512. Mr. Kelley, if you'll
     et al.
     raise your right hand, please, sir.
 3
 4
         (Plaintiff Sworn)
 5
              THE COURT: State your full name for the record.
              MR. KELLEY: Daniel George Kelley.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: And now I'll have defense counsel identify
     themselves for the record as well as the defendants on whose
 8
 9
     behalf they are appearing.
10
              MR. GRIFFIN: Your Honor, Steven Griffin on behalf of
11
     Jerry Buscher.
12
              MR. PEDERSEN: Bob Pedersen on behalf of defendants
     Ollie Little and Dr. Derrick Edwards.
13
14
              THE COURT: Mr. Kelley, have you ever been through one
15
     of these omnibus hearings before?
16
              MR. KELLEY: No, sir.
17
              THE COURT: My name is Keith Ball. I'm the magistrate
     judge assigned to your case. There's also a district judge
18
19
     assigned to your case, Judge Dan Jordan. Your case falls under
20
     the Prison Litigation Reform Act, and one of the things that
21
     the court must do in such cases is to screen your complaint to
22
     see whether you have stated a claim upon which relief can be
23
     granted. By that, I mean the court's going to look at the
24
     facts that you're alleging in your complaint and look at the
25
     law, the applicable law, and see whether it's a case that can
```

go forward in this court. Do you understand?

MR. KELLEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Before we get into talking about your complaint, I want to address some procedural matters. As I said, there's a district judge and me as the magistrate judge assigned to your case. You can consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction. If you do that, then there would only be one judge assigned to your case and that would be me. If you don't consent, then I'm still going to do what's called a report and recommendation to the district judge recommending to the district judge how he should rule on certain things, and he will either adopt that or not adopt that.

If you consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction, then we would skip that step so the process would go a little faster. Also Judge Jordan, the district judge, has cases set for trial every month, and I don't. So, in short, I'm just saying the process would go a little faster if you consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction.

I want you to understand that if you consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction, I'm going to apply the same law that Judge Jordan would. I would apply the same rules, the same rules of procedure and same rules of evidence. And if you disagreed with rulings that I made, you could appeal me to the Court of Appeals just like you could the district judge.

Now, understanding that -- and if you have any questions, just let me know -- do you want to consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction?

MR. KELLEY: No, sir, not right now.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let's go on to the other part of the hearing. And, as I said, keep in mind that what I'm doing is screening your complaint to see whether it is one that can go forward. We have your complaint. You don't have to repeat what you already have in your complaint. But if you do feel like there's something I need to understand in determining whether you have stated a claim or not, this is your opportunity to do it.

Your claim, as I understand it, is that you are -you're making a claim that you were denied medical care, more
specifically that you saw a dentist in September of 2013 at
Parchman, I think it was, for sore, swollen, and bleeding gums,
that you were prescribed some antibiotics and scheduled for a
followup appointment on September 30th, 2013. But on
September 25th, 2013, you were moved to East Mississippi
Correctional Facility.

Your claim is that after you were transferred to East Mississippi, they stopped your antibiotics, that you weren't seen by a dentist until June 15th, 2014, at which time the tooth was pulled and you are essentially saying that you lost the tooth because of a delay in treatment.

MR. KELLEY: Even after putting in sick calls -- from the time I got to EMCF, I started putting in sick call requests to see a dentist about it. And even now four months later, my gums still bleed. I can spit mouthfuls of blood several times daily. My gums are still sore. All they did was pull a tooth out, and they didn't address none of the other issues as far as my gums swollen and bleeding and sore.

When I eat -- my gums hurt when I eat and bloody. I wake up in the mornings. My sheet is full of blood done leaked out over my sheets. I've got to wash my sheets two or three times. Just they didn't address nothing but pull my tooth out.

THE COURT: Okay. What is your diagnosis?

MR. KELLEY: I don't know. He didn't tell me nothing. The doctor -- the dentist at Parchman told me that I had a bad case of gingivitis. I didn't have no teeth that needed to be pulled out at the time. They needed to be cleaned. And he put me on antibiotics. Two days later I was transferred to EMCF. I didn't receive any more antibiotics. And even though I turned in several sick calls at least once a week, I didn't see the dentist until June 15th when Ms. Nato -- she's the one that got in touch with the officials to get me up there to see the dentist in June.

And even after that, he just pulled the tooth out and took an X ray of it. He pulled the tooth and sent me back to the zone. He prescribed some type of antibiotics, but I got

1 them for like two or three days and that was it. It didn't 2 affect nothing. THE COURT: All right. Why are you -- you've sued 3 4 three defendants. Why are you suing Defendant Buscher? 5 MR. KELLEY: Because the warden, he's responsible for 6 making sure that I get dental treatment, him and -- he has 7 overall responsibility. THE COURT: Okay. You're not claiming that he 8 9 personally did something or failed to do something? 10 MR. KELLEY: He personally failed to make sure that 11 the medical department -- make sure that I was brought up there 12 all the time, and make sure that sick call requests were screened. I mean, that's the warden's responsibility and 13 14 health service administrator's responsibility to make sure that 15 inmates' sick call requests are screened and they get up there 16 on time. 17 THE COURT: Is it your -- what's the basis of your 18 testimony that the warden is responsible for going through all 19 of the sick call requests? 20 MR. KELLEY: He's not responsible for going through each of them. He's responsible for making sure that inmates 21 22 get their reasonably adequate and prompt treatment. He's not 23 responsible to go through each of the sick call requests, but 24 he's got a responsibility to make sure that, you know, if an

inmate has a serious medical need, you know, him and the health

25

```
1
     service administrator, they are responsible for making sure
 2
     that it's addressed.
              THE COURT: You're suing him because of his position
 3
 4
     for being the warden and being the one that's ultimately in
 5
     charge of the facility?
 6
              MR. KELLEY: Yes, sir.
 7
              THE COURT: Defendant Little: Why are you suing
     Defendant Little?
 8
 9
              MR. KELLEY: Because over -- he's in charge -- he's
10
     the health services administrator, and his responsibility, as I
     said, is the same almost the same as Defendant Buscher.
11
12
              THE COURT: Did you have any personal dealings with
     Defendant Little?
13
14
              MR. KELLEY: Just the second -- responsible for
15
     whether they finally responded to my ARP. He said he's going
16
     to get me up there on the 15th of June.
17
              THE COURT: Prior to the ARP process, did you have any
     personal contact with Little?
18
19
              MR. KELLEY: No, sir.
20
              THE COURT: Defendant Edwards: Why are you suing
     Defendant Edwards?
21
22
              MR. KELLEY: He was second-step responder on the ARP.
23
     He didn't address anything as far as the reason for the eight
24
     and a half month delay and, you know, during the eight and a
25
     half months, my gums were swollen, sore, and bleeding.
```

couldn't eat. If I eat, I had to soak my food to soften it up, spitting blood, my teeth hurting, hurting all down my neck.

THE COURT: But I understand what you're claiming your injuries were and the pain that you went through, but I'm asking you what are you claiming that Defendant Edwards personally did or failed to do with respect to your treatment?

MR. KELLEY: Dr. Edwards didn't do anything. I included him because he was the third-step responder on the ARP. So he knew about it. I had filed separate ARPs before, you know, the April 2nd ARP. I sent a couple of them up there and so they knew that -- the ARP department, they knew that I had dental problems and it was -- but with that and my sick call requests, nothing was done.

THE COURT: All right. Did you go to sick call at all during this time period? Did you ever go to medical there at the facility?

MR. KELLEY: I went up there on February 27th, I think it was. I went up there about a cold. And I asked the nurse about my tooth, and she said I'm probably on the list but that was it. And I told her there it was a hole in it and it was bleeding and hurt and aching, and all she said was I was probably on the list and this was it. But I wasn't called up there because of that. I was called up because I had a cold.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does defense counsel have any questions?

```
1
              MR. PEDERSEN: Yes, Your Honor. Bob Pedersen.
 2
     Mr. Kelley, after your tooth was extracted, that was in June of
 3
     2014.
            Is that correct?
 4
              MR. KELLEY: Yes, sir.
 5
              MR. PEDERSEN: Have you been to see anybody about your
 6
     problem with your gums since that?
 7
              MR. KELLEY: Well, on June 25th, the CO on the unit
     came and got me and took me to medical about a sick call
 8
 9
     request that I sent in on January 20th of this year and about
10
     my tooth getting pulled out. I told him about the gums still
11
     bleeding and stuff. And like I said, all I got after he pulled
12
     the tooth out, I got antibiotics for like three days and some
13
     mouthwash and that was it. And I've still been sending sick
14
     calls about my gums bleeding and bothering me and nothing has
15
     been done.
16
              MR. PEDERSEN: Do you remember how many sick calls
     you've put in about your gums since that last visit when you
17
18
     got the antibiotics?
19
              MR. KELLEY: About four, five.
20
              MR. PEDERSEN: Do you remember what months you put
21
     those in?
22
              MR. KELLEY: June, July, and August.
23
              MR. PEDERSEN: That's all the questions I have, Your
24
     Honor.
25
              THE COURT: All right.
```

```
1
              MR. GRIFFIN: No questions.
 2
              THE COURT: I think we have done all we needed to do
     in this one today.
 3
 4
              MR. GRIFFIN: On behalf of Defendant Buscher, I did
 5
     bring a preliminary exhibit witness as well as the inmate's
 6
     institutional records and ARP file related to this matter.
 7
              THE COURT: Let the record reflect those documents are
     being produced at this time. Mr. Pedersen?
 8
 9
              MR. PEDERSEN: Your Honor, we have brought on behalf
10
     of Defendants Little and Edwards an exhibit list, a witness
11
     list, and selected medical records that are Bates numbered
12
     Kelley 1 through Kelley 38.
              THE COURT: All right. Let the record reflect that
13
14
     those document are being produced at this time. Mr. Kelley?
15
              MR. KELLEY: Before I got your order about the omnibus
16
     hearing, I had sent in because I had never -- this is -- I only
17
     had one other case that I sent in a request for production of
18
     documents, initial disclosure, and --
19
              THE COURT: I will issue an order that will advise
20
     whether any discovery will be allowed. So your sending
21
     discovery was premature in the case. If you did send some, the
22
     defendants are not required to answer it at this time, and I'll
23
     issue an order that will direct whether there's going to be any
24
     discovery allowed in this case.
25
              MR. KELLEY: My ARP documents, what do you --
```

```
1
              THE COURT: What about them?
 2
              MR. KELLEY: Just to show that I did exhaust remedies
     before I filed and such.
 3
 4
              THE COURT: I don't believe the defendant has filed a
 5
     motion for summary judgment based on failure to exhaust
 6
     administrative remedies. If it's not raised, it is not an
 7
     issue. If it is raised, if they were to file such a motion,
     then you would be required within 14 days of that motion being
 8
 9
     filed to respond to it. And you would write up something. And
10
     if you have the ARP forms, you'd be required to -- that show
11
     that you completed the process prior to filing suit, you'd need
12
     to send that in. Okay?
              MR. KELLEY: What do I do with the exhibit list, paper
13
14
     and --
              THE COURT: You brought your exhibit list and witness
15
16
     list today?
17
              MR. KELLEY: Yes, sir.
              THE COURT: Okay. You can provide that to
18
19
     Ms. Kimball. We'll make a copy. Did you bring a copy, or is
20
     that the only one you have?
21
              MR. KELLEY: That's what I have on my initial
22
     disclosure and such. So I don't need a copy back.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Okay. Thank you very
23
24
     much. This matter is adjourned.
25
         (Recess)
```

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER I, CHERIE GALLASPY BOND, Official Court Reporter, United States District Court, Southern District of Mississippi, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing pages contain a full, true and correct transcript of the proceedings had in the aforenamed case at the time and place indicated, which proceedings were recorded by me to the best of my skill and ability. I certify that the transcript fees and format comply with those prescribed by the Court and Judicial Conference of the United States. This the 29th day of October, 2014. s/ Cherie G. Bond Cherie G. Bond Court Reporter